IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BILLY TYLER,)
Plaintiff,)) \
٧.) Civ. No. 06-22-KAJ
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,)))
Defendant.)

ORDER

- 1. Plaintiff, Billy Tyler ("Tyler"), a *pro se* litigant who is presently incarcerated in the Nebraska Department of Corrections, brings this action against Union Pacific Railroad Company. Jurisdiction is based upon diversity pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Tyler requested, and was granted, leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (D.I. 1, 4).
- 2. The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") provides that a prisoner cannot bring a new civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action *in forma pauperis* if he or she has three or more times in the past, while incarcerated, brought a civil action or appeal in federal court that was dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). A case dismissed as frivolous prior to the enactment of the PLRA (i.e., April 26, 1996) is counted when applying the "three strikes rule". *Keener v. Pennsylvania Bd. of Probation & Parole*, 128 F.3d 143 (3d Cir. 1997). An exception is made to the "three strikes rule" when the prisoner is in imminent danger of serious physical injury.
 - 3. A prisoner who is not proceeding in forma pauperis may file a new civil

action or appeal even if that prisoner has three or more dismissals described in 28 U.S.C. 1915(g).

- 4. It has come to the Court's attention that Tyler, while incarcerated, has filed in excess of 90 lawsuits in the Federal District Court in the District of Nebraska. See *Tyler v. Haas*. Civ No. 05-4050 (D.S.D. May 6, 2005). More than three civil actions filed by Tyler while incarcerated were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted: *Tyler v. Haas*, Civ. No. 05-4050 (D.S.D. May 6, 2005); *Tyler v. Clarke*, Civ. No. 266-RGK-PRSE (D.Neb. June 10, 2004); *Tyler v. Clarke*, Civ. No. 04-215-JFB-PRSE (D.Neb. June 7, 2004); *Tyler v. Britten*, Civ. No. 03-3273-RGK-PRSE (D.Neb. Apr. 22, 2004); *Tyler v. Stennes-Williams*, Civ. No. 03-310-LSC-PRSE (D.Neb. Sept. 4, 2003).
- 5. Accordingly, Tyler may not file another civil action *in forma pauperis* while incarcerated unless he is in "imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time of the filing of his complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307, 311 (3d Cir. 2001). Tyler's complaint does not meet that standard.
- 6. Based upon the foregoing, the Court VACATES its January 19, 2006, order (D.I. 4) and DENIES Tyler's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (D.I. 1). Tyler, however, is given thirty (30) days from the date of this order to pay the \$250.00 filing fee. If Tyler does not pay the filing fee within that time, the complaint shall be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

DATED: March 17, 2006 Wilmington, Delaware

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE